

A correlation-based distance

Jean-Luc Falcone¹ and Paul Albuquerque^{1,2}

¹ Computer Science Department, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland

² I³, Ecole d'Ingénieurs de Genève, HES-SO, 1202 Geneva, Switzerland
e-mail: jean-luc.falcone@cui.unige.ch, albuquerque@eig.unige.ch

Abstract. In this short technical report, we define on the sample space $\mathbb{R}^D$ a distance between data points which depends on their correlation. We also derive an expression for the center of mass of a set of points with respect to this distance.

1 Preliminaries

For a sample point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_D) \in \mathbb{R}^D$, we define the average

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D x_i$$

and the standard deviation

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|$$

of its components and we set $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x})$.

We now restrict our attention to $\mathbb{R}^D \setminus \text{Diag}$ where

$$\text{Diag} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_D) \in \mathbb{R}^D \mid x_1 = \dots = x_D\}.$$

To $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D \setminus \text{Diag}$, we associate the centered and reduced variable

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \frac{\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}} = \sqrt{D} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}}{\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\|}$$

Consequently, $\overline{\mathbf{x}^*} = 0$ and $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}^*} = 1$, and we have

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}^*}^2 = \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D (x_i^*)^2 = 1 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^D (x_i^*)^2 = D$$

The geometric interpretation of this transform is that $\mathbf{x}^*$ lies on the D -dimensional hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^D(\sqrt{D}) \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ of radius $\sqrt{D}$ centered at the origin.

The correlation between two sample points, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_D)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_D)$, in $\mathbb{R}^D \setminus \text{Diag}$ is given by

$$\text{corr}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^D (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^D (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum_{i=1}^D (y_i - \bar{y})^2}},$$

which can also be expressed as

$$\text{corr}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}})}{\|\mathbf{x} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}\| \|\mathbf{y} - \bar{\mathbf{y}}\|} = \frac{1}{D}(\mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{y}^*)$$

where $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ stands for the scalar product of $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$.

2 A distance based on correlation

We propose the following correlation-based distance

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sqrt{1 - (\text{corr}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))^2} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{(\mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{y}^*)^2}{D^2}} \quad (1)$$

for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^D \setminus \text{Diag}$. Note that $0 \leq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq 1$.

The following properties of a metric distance

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) &= 0 \\ d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \\ d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) &\leq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}), \end{aligned}$$

must be verified.

We have

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{(\mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^*)^2}{D^2}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{D^2}{D^2}} = 0$$

and, obviously, $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$.

The main feature of this distance is that strong correlation corresponds to small distance. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{corr}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})]^2 = 1 &\Leftrightarrow \exists \mu \neq 0, \delta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s. t. } x_i = \mu y_i + \delta, \forall i \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}^* = \pm \mathbf{y}^* \\ &\Leftrightarrow d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

which also means that the distance d is degenerate, since $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0 \nRightarrow \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.

The triangle inequality $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \leq d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$ requires some explanations. A preliminary remark is that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \sqrt{1 - \frac{(\mathbf{x}^* \cdot \mathbf{y}^*)^2}{D^2}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{[D \cos(\alpha)]^2}{D^2}} = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(\alpha)} \\ &= \sin(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$ is the angle between $\mathbf{x}^*$ and $\mathbf{y}^*$.

Replacing $\mathbf{y}^*$ by $-\mathbf{y}^*$ and $\mathbf{z}^*$ by $-\mathbf{z}^*$ if necessary, we can assume that the angles α between $\mathbf{x}^*$ and $\mathbf{y}^*$ and β between $\mathbf{y}^*$ and $\mathbf{z}^*$ belong to $[0, \pi/2]$. Consider the point $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ obtained by rotating $\mathbf{z}^*$ around the axis defined by $\mathbf{y}^*$, into the plane determined by $\mathbf{x}^*$ and $\mathbf{y}^*$, but opposite to $\mathbf{x}^*$ with respect to $\mathbf{y}^*$. The angle between $\mathbf{y}^*$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is still β . However, the angle between $\mathbf{x}^*$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$, which equals $\alpha + \beta$, is greater than the one between $\mathbf{x}^*$ and $\mathbf{z}^*$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) &\leq \sin(\alpha + \beta) = \underbrace{\sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta)}_{\in [0,1]} + \underbrace{\sin(\beta) \cos(\alpha)}_{\in [0,1]} \\ &\leq \sin(\alpha) + \sin(\beta) = d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) \end{aligned}$$

As previously mentioned, the distance d is degenerate on $\mathbb{R}^D \setminus \text{Diag}$ or on $\mathbb{S}^D(\sqrt{D})$. However, we obtain a non-degenerate distance on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^D$ (i.e. the space of lines through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^D$).

3 The center of mass

Onwards, we will assume that all variables are centered and reduced. Hence, we restrict the sample space to the D -dimensional hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^D(\sqrt{D}) \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ of radius $\sqrt{D}$ centered at the origin. We will omit the $*$ notation.

We compute the center of mass $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{S}^D(\sqrt{D})$ of a set of N points $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ on $\mathbb{S}^D(\sqrt{D})$. By definition, the center of mass minimizes the average square distance to a set of points. We therefore want to minimize the expression

$$F(\mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N [d(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{x}_j)]^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{ND^2} \sum_{j=1}^N (\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{x}_j)^2 \quad (2)$$

under the constraint

$$H(\mathbf{g}) = 1 - \frac{1}{D} \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{g} = 0 \quad (3)$$

that $\mathbf{g}$ lies on $\mathbb{S}^D(\sqrt{D})$.

We solve this problem using the method of Lagrange multipliers. The gradients of F and H must satisfy

$$\nabla F(\mathbf{g}) = \lambda \nabla H(\mathbf{g}),$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial g_k} F(\mathbf{g}) = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial g_k} H(\mathbf{g}) \quad (k = 1, \dots, D). \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{ND} \sum_{j=1}^N x_{jk} (\mathbf{x}_j \cdot \mathbf{g}) &= \frac{1}{ND} \sum_{j=1}^N \left(x_{jk} \sum_{i=1}^D x_{ji} g_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^D \left(\frac{1}{ND} \sum_{j=1}^N x_{jk} x_{ji} \right) g_i = \lambda g_k \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If we define the $D \times D$ matrix $\mathbf{M} = (m_{ik})$ by

$$m_{ik} = \frac{1}{ND} \sum_{j=1}^N x_{jk} x_{ji} \quad (i, k = 1, \dots, D),$$

then equation (5) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^D m_{ik} g_i = \lambda g_k \quad (k = 1, \dots, D)$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbf{M}\mathbf{g} = \lambda\mathbf{g}$$

Thus, minimizing F (eq. 2) under the constraint H (eq. 3) reduces to finding the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{M}$. The eigenvector, correctly normalized in order to satisfy H , for which F is minimum, yields the center of mass of the set of N points $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=1}^N$ on $\mathbb{S}^D(\sqrt{D})$. The matrix $\mathbf{M}$ being symmetric, all its eigenvalues are real.